

MEETING DATE	4 May 2023
Panel reference	PPSSCC-398 – The Hills – 672/2023/JP – 16-30 Dawes Avenue, 4-8 Hughes Avenue and 2-10 Cadman Crescent, Castle Hill
Chair	Abigail Goldberg

In relation to this matter,	I declare that I have:		
no known conflict o	of interest ⊠ OR		
an actual¹ □, pote	ntial $^2 \square$ or reasonably perceived $^3 \square$	conflict of interest, as detailed below:	
			_
			_
			_
			_
Ah dury	Abigail Goldberg	4 May 2023	
Signature	Name	Date	
	red the panel chair is to ensure appl and countersign this form, noting a	propriate management measures are in place, as ny additional measures.	
Chair Signature	Name	Date	
Please return this form to	the Planning Panels Secretariat at	enguiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au	

 $^{^1}$ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

 $^{^{2}}$ A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	4 May 2023
Panel reference	PPSSCC-398 – The Hills – 672/2023/JP – 16-30 Dawes Avenue, 4-8 Hughes Avenue and 2-10 Cadman Crescent, Castle Hill
Chair	Abigail Goldberg

In rela	ition to this matter, I declare	that I have:	
	no known conflict of interes	t ⊠ OR	
	an actual 1 \square , potential 2 \square	or reasonably perceived³ □ conflict	of interest, as detailed below:
3	TW	Brent Woodhams	4 May 2023
Signat	ture	Name	Date
		panel chair is to ensure appropriate attersign this form, noting any addition	management measures are in place, as onal measures.
Chair	Signature	Name	Date

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

 $^{^{1}}$ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

 $^{^{2}}$ A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	4 May 2023
Panel reference	PPSSCC-398 – The Hills – 672/2023/JP – 16-30 Dawes Avenue, 4-8 Hughes Avenue and 2-10 Cadman Crescent, Castle Hill
Chair	Abigail Goldberg

In relation to this matter,	I declare that I have:		
no known conflict	of interest ⊠ OR		
an actual¹□, pote	ntial ² □ or reasonably perceived	$I^3 \square$ conflict of interest, as detailed below:	
			_
			_
			_
			_
9_	David Ryan	4 May 2023	
Signature	Name	Date	
	ared the panel chair is to ensure a and countersign this form, notin	appropriate management measures are in place, as ag any additional measures.	5
Chair Signature			
Please return this form to) the Planning Panels Secretariat	at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au	

 $^{^1}$ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	4 May 2023
Panel reference	PPSSCC-398 – The Hills – 672/2023/JP – 16-30 Dawes Avenue, 4-8 Hughes Avenue and 2-10 Cadman Crescent, Castle Hill
Chair	Abigail Goldberg

In relation to this matter, I decla	re that I have:		
no known conflict of inter	est ⊠ OR		
an actual 1 $□$, potential 2 $□$	l or reasonably perceived³ □ conflic	t of interest, as detailed below:	
Jand Steply	Jarrod Murphy	4 May 2023	
Signature	Name	Date	
should a conflict be declared the panel chair is to ensure appropriate management measures are in place, as determined by the chair, and countersign this form, noting any additional measures.			
Chair Signature	Name	Date	

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

 $^{^1}$ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

 $^{^2}$ A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	4 May 2023
Panel reference	PPSSCC-398 – The Hills – 672/2023/JP – 16-30 Dawes Avenue, 4-8 Hughes Avenue and 2-10 Cadman Crescent, Castle Hill
Chair	Abigail Goldberg

in place, as
ow:

 $^{^{1}}$ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.